

Scoring guide/rubric for evaluating individual performance in the group discussion

For participants

Criterion	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Knowledge of the topic (40 points)	(0 – 9 points) Shows little-to-no knowledge of the topic; ideas and opinions shared are not backed up by evidence and reasoning	(10 – 19 points) Shows a low level of familiarity with the topic; ideas and opinions shared are barely backed up by evidence or reasoning	(20 – 29 points) Shows adequate knowledge of the topic; able to share ideas and opinions that are adequately supported with evidence and reasoning	(30 – 37 points) Shows considerable knowledge of the topic; able to share good ideas and opinions that are mostly supported with evidence and reasoning	(38 – 40 points) Shows thorough knowledge of the topic; able to share meaningful ideas and opinions that are well supported with evidence and reasoning
Participation/Level of engagement (40 points)	(0 – 9 points) Is passive and hardly contributes to the discussion	(10 – 19 points) Contributes rarely to the discussion	(20 – 29 points) Contributes occasionally to the discussion	(30 – 37 points) Contributes mostly to the discussion	(38 – 40 points) Actively contributes to the discussion
Communication skills (20 points)	(0 – 9 points) Is inarticulate; exhibits difficulty in expressing ideas; commits glaring errors in language	(10 - 11 points) Struggles in expressing ideas; commits major errors in the use of language	(12 – 16 points) Is less articulate with major errors in the use of language	(17 – 19 points) Is articulate with minor errors in the use of language	(20 points) Is consistently articulate and makes effective use of language
Total					100

Scoring guide/rubric for evaluating individual performance in the group discussion

For the group leader

Criterion	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Knowledge of the topic (40 points)	(0 – 9 points) Shows little-to-no knowledge of the topic; ideas and opinions shared are not backed up by evidence and reasoning	(10 – 19 points) Shows a low level of familiarity with the topic; ideas and opinions shared are barely backed up by evidence or reasoning	(20 – 29 points) Shows adequate knowledge of the topic; able to share ideas and opinions that are adequately supported with evidence and reasoning	(30 – 37 points) Shows considerable knowledge of the topic; able to share good ideas and opinions that are mostly supported with evidence and reasoning	(38 – 40 points) Shows thorough knowledge of the topic; able to share meaningful ideas and opinions that are well supported with evidence and reasoning
Leadership skills (40 points)	(0 – 9 points) Is not active; does not effectively facilitate the flow of the discussion	(10 – 19 points) Quite active; shows below minimum effort in facilitating the flow of the discussion	(20 – 29 points) Sufficiently active; shows minimum effort in facilitating the flow of the discussion	(30 – 37 points) Reasonably active; efficient in facilitating the flow of the discussion	(38 – 40 points) Highly active; highly efficient in facilitating the flow of the discussion
Communication skills (20 points)	(0 – 9 points) Is inarticulate; exhibits difficulty in expressing ideas; commits glaring errors in language	(10 - 11 points) Struggles in expressing ideas; commits major errors in the use of language	(12 – 16 points) Is less articulate with major errors in the use of language	(17 – 19 points) Is articulate with minor errors in the use of language	(20 points) Is consistently articulate and makes effective use of language
Total					100

Scoring guide/rubric for group performance in a group discussion

Bases of group grade

Criterion	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Flow of Interaction/Group dynamics (40 points)	(0 – 9 points) Minimal level of interaction between and among the leader and the participants	(10 – 19 points) Slightly moderate level of interaction between and among the leader and the participants	(20 – 29 points) Moderate level of interaction between and among the leader and the participants	(30 – 37 points) Adequate level of interaction between and among the leader and the participants	(38 – 40 points) Maximum level of interaction between and among the leader and the participants
Discussion Output (40 points)	(0 – 9 points) Output was way off the mark; did not address the group’s discussion question	(10 – 19 points) Output minimally addresses the group’s discussion question	(20 – 29 points) Output reasonably addresses the group’s discussion question	(30 – 37 points) Output adequately addresses the group’s discussion question	(38 – 40 points) Output completely addresses the group’s discussion question
Adherence to the Basic Agenda for Discussion (20 points)	(0 – 9 points) Did not follow the Basic Agenda for Discussion	(10 - 11 points) Observable major digressions in the Basic Agenda for Discussion	(12 – 16 points) Fairly adheres to the Basic Agenda for discussion with more than minimal digressions	(17 – 19 points) Sufficient adherence to the Basic Agenda for discussion with slight digressions	(20 points) Complete adherence to the Basic Agenda for Discussion
Total					100