

# **PURPOSE**

- §DECIDE HOW LARGE SAMPLE SIZE NEEDED TO ENABLE STATISTICAL JUDGMENTS THAT IS ACCURATE & RELIABLE
- §HOW LIKELY STATISTICAL TEST WILL DETECT EFFECTS OF A GIVEN SIZE IN A PARTICULAR **SITUATION**



# OUTLINE

- §POWER ANALYSIS AND SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION IN EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
	- §SAMPLING THEORY
	- §HYPOTHESIS TESTING
	- §CALCULATING POWER
	- §CALCULATING REQUIRED SAMPLE SIZE



#### SAMPLING THEORY

- § SAMPLE IS A SUBSET OF POPULATION
- § STATISTIC IS AN ESTIMATE OF THE PARAMETER
- § IN ANY SAMPLE, THERE WILL BE SOME SAMPLING ERROR OR VARIATION
- § SAMPLING ERROR = DIFFERENCES OF SAMPLE TO THE PARAMETER ESTIMATE



# HYPOTHESIS TESTING LOGIC

- § PROCESS
- § REJECT SUPPORT HYPOTHESIS TESTING
- § NULL HYPOTHESIS IS EITHER TRUE OR FALSE
- § STATISTICAL DECISION IS ONLY "ONE"



# HYPOTHESIS TESTING LOGIC





# SIGNIFICANCE TESTING

#### §TWO BASIC KINDS OF SITUATIONS §REJECT SUPPORT **• ACCEPT SUPPORT**



# REJECT SUPPORT RESEARCH - SUMMARY

- 1. RESEARCHER WANTS TO REJECT HO
- 2. SOCIETY WANTS TO CONTROL TYPE 1 ERROR
- 3. RESEARCHER IS CONCERNED WITH TYPE 2 ERROR
- 4. HIGH SAMPLE SIZE WORKS FOR RESEARCHER
- 5. IF THERE IS " TOO MUCH POWER", TRIVIAL EFFECTS BECOME HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT



# ACCEPT SUPPORT RESEARCH - SUMMARY

- 1. RESEARCHER WANTS TO ACCEPT HO
- 2. SOCIETY WORRY ABOUT CONTROLLING TYPE 2 ERROR
- 3. RESEARCHER MUST BE VERY CAREFUL TO CONTROL TYPE 1 ERROR
- 4. HIGH SAMPLE SIZE WORKS AGAINST RESEARCHER
- 5. TOO MUCH POWER, THE RESEARCHER'S THEORY CAN BE REJECTED BY A SIGNIFICANCE TEST EVEN THOUGH IT FITS THE DATA ALMOST PERFECTLY



# **CALCULATING POWER**

§ FACTORS INFLUENCING POWER OF A STATISTICAL TEST:

- § KIND OF STATISTICAL TEST
- § SAMPLE SIZE, LARGER SIZE ~ LARGER POWER; WASTEFUL
- § SIZE OF EXPERIMENTAL EFFECTS
- § LEVEL OF ERROR IN EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS



## STATISTICAL POWER

Power = The chance that you reject  $H_0$  when  $H_0$  is false (i.e., you [correctly] conclude that there is a treatment effect when there really is a treatment effect).





# **POWER DEPENDS ON...**

- The structure of the experiment
- **The method for analyzing the data**
- § The size of the true underlying effect
- The variability in the measurements
- The chosen significance level  $(\alpha)$
- The sample size

Note:We usually try to determine the sample size to give a particular power (often 80%).



# CALCULATING REQUIRED SAMPLE SIZE

#### §MEASURES THAT AFFECT ACCURATENESS OF DATA: • MARGIN OF ERROR (CONFIDENCE INTERVAL) §CONFIDENCE LEVEL



#### EASY SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATOR





#### Calculate sample size margin of error

After your survey is complete and you know the number of respondents you actually have, you can use this calculator to determine the actual margin of error.





# SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATOR



 $\textbf{S} = (Z\text{-score})^2 * p * (1-p) / (margin of error)^2$ 

• After calculation of sample size you have to correct for the total (estimated) population SSadjusted =  $(SS) / (1 + [(SS – 1) / population])$ 







n – sample size; Z<sub>ay2</sub> – critical value for the desired confidence degree, usually: 1.96 (95%);  $\delta$  – population standard deviation of the variable; E – standard error, usually: ±5% of the proportion of<br>cases (absolute results in the population  $(q=1-p)$ .



§ Example 1: To describe the measurements of mean ar- terial pressure from a specific population of patients that has never been described before, with tolerable error of  $\pm 5$  mmHg, the sample size would have to be based on the standard deviation considering the values from this group. If a pre-test with 30 patients showed the standard deviation of 15 mmHg,

 $\cdot$  n=(1.96×15/5)2

=34.6 patients



§ Example 2: To describe the prevalence of venous insuf- ficiency of the lower limbs, with tolerable error of  $\pm 5\%$ , in the population of morbidly obese patients from a specific obesity outpatient clinic with 315 patients (630 limbs), the sample size calculation could be based on the results ob- tained by Seidel et al.6, who estimated the proportion of 69.3% of affected limbs

§ n=[630×0.693×0.307×(1.96)2]/  ${([630-1) \times (0.05)2]}$  $+[0.693\times0.307\times(1.96)2]$ 

 $=215.5$  limbs





n - sample size (for each subgroup); nP - number of pairs;  $Z_{\alpha/2}$  - value of error  $\alpha$ , usually: 1.96 (5%);  $Z_{\beta}$  - value of error  $\beta$ , usually: 0.84 (20%); d - minimum difference between the mean values; Sa and Sb - standard deviation of the variable in each group; Sd - standard deviation of the difference between the pairs;  $\overline{D}$  - mean value of the difference between the pairs; p1 and p2 proportion of favorable results in subgroup 1 or 2; q1 and q2 - proportion of unfavorable results in subgroup 1 or 2; pa - proportion of unmatched pairs for group 1; qa - proportion of matched pairs for group 1; pd - sum of the proportion of unmatched pairs for the two groups.



§ Example 3: To compare the flow measurements of two limbs of dogs submitted to two different procedures of arte- rial revascularization, with the minimum tolerable differ- ence of  $+50$  mL/min to consider efficient one of the procedures, a pilot study would have to indicate the standard deviation of the differences between flows (e.g.: 60 mL/min).

 $\cdot$  n=[(1.96+0.84)×60/50]2 =11.3 animals



§ Example 4: To compare the healing rates of two surgi- cal procedures, the traditional method resulting in a 70% healing rate and the study procedure at least 10% better than the conventional system, the minimum sample size calculation of a clinical trial is?

 $\cdot$  n={[(0.7×0.3)+(0.8×0.2)]×(1.96 +0.84)2}/(0.7-0.8)2

= 290.4 patients (each group)



Chart 3. Formula for sample sizing for linear correlation between quantitative variables.

$$
n = 4 + \left(\frac{(Z\alpha/2 + Z\beta)}{0.5 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)}\right)^2
$$

n - sample size;  $Z_{\alpha/2}$  - value of error  $\alpha$ , usually: 1.96 (5%);  $Z_{\mu}$  - value of error  $\beta$ , usually: 0.84 (20%); r - linear correlation coefficient (Pearson or Spearman).



§ Example 5: To establish the correlation between the measurement of muscle force of quadriceps and the maxi- mum distance covered by patients with history of intermit- tent claudication, the sample size calculation could be based on the study conducted by Pereira et al.11, which described a linear correlation coefficient of 0.87.

 $n =$ 4+{(1.96+0.84)/[0.5×ln(1+0.87)  $/(1 - 0.87)$ ] $2$ 

 $= 8.4$  patients

