EVALUATION OF WRITTEN RESEARCH PROPOSAL

TITLE:	
Names of Principal Investigators:	
(1)	_
(2)	_
(3)	_

Instructions:

Please indicate your score for each item. If not applicable, put a check mark (\checkmark) on the space provided .

	POINTS	SCORE	N/A
I. TITLE			
 Clearly shows major dependent and independent variables being investigated. Reflects the problem to be investigated. Identifies the "who", "what", "where" questions regarding the problem being researched. 	1		
II. INTRODUCTION			
• Provides a brief background of the proposed research to justify the selection of the research problem.	2		
III. OBJECTIVES			
The general objective is appropriate for the research problem/question.	2		
 The specific objectives are: Adequate for the general objective. Clearly stated or have incorporated the variables. Stated in measurable terms/indicators specified. IV. HYPOTHESIS 	2		
The null and alternative hypotheses are properly written.	1		
V. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE			
 Justifies the selection of the problem. Provides a good background of the research problem. Provides a good basis for the conceptual framework. Adequate and relevant sources of scholarly literature. 	3		
Related studies in the Philippine setting were discussed.	1		
Presented in a logical manner.Literature is properly cited.	2		
VI. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	ı		
• Identifies and illustrates the clear interrelationships of all variables (dependent, independent, etc.).	2		
VII. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY			
The research problem/question is clearly discussed.	2		
 Shows the expected type of knowledge and intended application for stakeholders. Make convincing arguments about the usefulness of knowledge that will be generated. Illustrate possible significant additions to the present body of knowledge. 	3		
Discusses how the proposed research conforms to the UPCD Research Agenda.	1		

	POINTS	SCORE	N/A
VIII. DEFINITION OF TERMS			
Words, terms or phrases are operationally defined to the context of the			
research study.	2		
IX. METHODOLOGY			
1. Research Design			
 The research design is appropriate for the objectives. 	2		
 The explanation for the use of the design is clear and understandable. 			
2. Participants / Samples			
Human Participants			
There is proper and clear identification of:	2		
the target population and the sample population			
• the inclusion and exclusion criteria			
Animal/Plant Subjects	2		
The genus, species, and strain of the animal/plant is identified. Samples			
Samples	2		
 Appropriate labelling of samples is used example: trade names are followed by manufacturer name and 			
followed by generic description of material, etc.			
3. Sampling Design			
The manner of selecting participants is defined.			
The manner of selecting participants is defined. The grouping of the samples is clearly described.	2		
The control of sampling biases is also described.	_		
Computing for the appropriate number of participants/samples (or if			
sampling is not applicable) is shown and explained.	1		
4. Plan of Investigation			
Full and detailed discussion of the methods	5		
(how, where, when, what, why)			
 Discussion of methods is organized and systematic 	3		
(from one step to succeeding steps; from phase one to succeeding phase)			
Calibration of observers, pilot testing of data collection tools, substance of	2		
survey materials, interview guides, etc. are discussed.			
Proper citations are included for the data collection procedures	1		
example: questionnaires adapted from previous studies, indices used, etc. 5. Data Management			
Editing – checking for consistency and completeness of data is explained	1		
Coding – codes used for specific variables are outlined	1		
Data Storage – the software used for creating the data files is explained	1		
Data Presentation – dummy graphs and tables presented are appropriate	2		
Data Analysis			
Data Analysis Descriptive Statistics: the statistical tools used for specific variables			
are discussed	3		
 Inferential Statistics: the statistical tools to be used to test the 			
hypotheses (including the level of significance) are discussed.			
6. Interpretation and Conclusions	2		
Discussions of expected outcomes are scientifically-sound and supported			
by the review of literature.			
X. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS			
• Discussed the steps to be observed to ensure an ethical research undertaking.			
• Includes the general ethical considerations that need to be addressed.	3		
(based on the UPM-REB guidelines)			
Samples of Informed Consent and Assent Forms are presented (or included in	2		
the Appendix).			
XI. TIME TABLE			
Important activities are identified and scheduled.	2		
Time schedule shown is feasible.			

	POINTS	SCORE	N/
XII. PERSONNEL AND FACILITIES			
• The personnel involved and the setting of the study are clearly delineated.	1		
XIII. BUDGET			
The budget presented is:	1		
outlined and itemized	_		
appropriate and feasible			
XIV. WORKS CITED	1		
The cited sources are properly listed and formatted.	2		
Recent studies are included in the cited references.			
XV. PROPONENTS' CURRICULUM VITAE	T		
Pertinent data about the investigators' personal information is written.	2		
CVs of all proponents are attached (including all co-authors)			
APPENDICES	T		
Appropriate appendices are properly identified in the section: Appropriate appendices are properly identified in the section:			
correspondences, survey questionnaires, informed consent forms, record forms, description of pertinent procedures, etc.	2		
FORMAT			
FORIVIAI			
 Followed the format as prescribed for the course. 	3		
	TOTAL		
Comments and Suggestions:			
· ————————————————————————————————————			
·			
·			
Evaluated by:	ate:		
Evaluated by: Da	າເບ		

SIGNATURE OVER PRINTED NAME